Congenital Rectal Atresia with Rectovestibular Fistula: A Case	1
Report	2
Saif Ghabisha <sup>1</sup> , Faisal Ahmed <sup>2</sup> *, Saleh Al-wageeh <sup>1</sup> , Ebrahim Al-shami <sup>2</sup> , Khalil Al-naggar <sup>2</sup> , Ghamdan Obaid <sup>3</sup> , Mohammed Alfaragi <sup>4</sup>	3 4
<sup>1</sup> Department of General Surgery, Ibb University of Medical Science, Ibb, Yemen.	5
<sup>2</sup> Urology Research Center, Al-Thora General Hospital, Department of Urology, Ibb University of	6
Medical Science, Ibb, Yemen.	7
<sup>3</sup> Department of Orthopedy, Ibb University of Medical Science, Ibb, Yemen.	8
<sup>4</sup> Skin Soft Clinic, Department of Dermatology, Unaizah, Saudi Arabia.	9
*Correspondence: Faisal Ahmed	10
Urology Research Center, Al-Thora General Hospital, Department of Urology, Ibb University of	11
Medical Science, Ibb, Yemen	12
	13

# Abstract

Within the spectrum of anorectal malformations, congenital rectal atresia associated with rectovestibular fistulas is an uncommon and curable entity. It has been reported to have a prevalence of less than 1%. In this study, we report a 9-month-old female presented with the passing of feces andgas through the small orifice of the vaginal wall. The diagnosis of congenital rectal atresia associated with rectovestibular fistula was confirmed by radiological investigations. The patient was successfully treated with transfistula anorectoplasty. Here we present the case, management approach, and a review of the previous literatures.

Keywords: Anorectal malformation, Rectovestibular fistula, Case Report, Rectal Atresia, Transfistula Anorectoplasty.

# Introduction

Imperforate anus is amongst the most prevalent birth defects with a global incidence of 1 per 5000 live 18 births.(Kisra, Alkadi, Zerhoni, Ettayebi, & Benhammou, 2005) It is characterized by the absence of 19 anal orifice and the anus being connected with the genitourinary apparatus via a small fistula or ending 20 blind. Atresia ani in a female neonate combined with rectovestibular fistula (RVF) is an exceptionally 21 uncommon disorder.(Aslam, Ashworth, & Spicer, 1996; Khan & Chana, 2013) 22

Poverty and ignorance have been the causes of a considerable percentage of imperforate anus cases in 23 children who presented to tertiary hospitals throughout the neonatal or preschool periods in poorer 24 regions, such as Yemen, where a major proportion of births are home deliveries.(Pandey, Gupta, 25 Singh, & Verma, 2015) Therefore, we discuss this case due to the rare incidence of this abnormality.

# **Case Report**

The parents of a 9-month-old female with a low-income background presented her to the hospital with 28 the claims of nonexistent anal orifice and complaining that flatus and feces passed through the 29 vestibular opening. The infant was born full-term by vaginal domestic delivery and was solely 30 breastfed since delivery. Her mother did not take any medication through her pregnancy. She was 31 attentive, well-nourished, and weighed 10 kg. Her social skills were typical for her age. On clinical 32 examination, she had soft abdominal distension with redness in the perineum. The stool was observed 33 coming from the small fistulous of about 1 cm in the vaginal wall (Figure 1). The ultrasonographic 34 evaluation was normal with no further congenital anomalies discovered. Magnetic resonance imaging 35

14 15

16 17

26



Figure 1. show the rectovestibular fistula (black arrow) and blind anal canal opening (blue arrow).

### **Surgical Procedure**

Preoperative bowel preparation with saline was carried out with clear fluids administered orally for 24 42 h until the effluent was clear. Moreover, she received a preoperative intravenous antibiotic. The 43 surgery was performed in lithotomy position with general anesthesia and after foley insertion to the 44 bladder. The anal dimple confirmed the suggested place of the anus. Furthermore, it was validated 45 using a muscular stimulator, and the landmarks to the neonatal place were obtained by a simple stitch. 46 To help the fistula separate from the rectovaginal wall and to reduce the bleeding, a diluted 47 epinephrine solution (0.001%) was inserted beneath the mucosa of the fistulous area. To enhance the 48 retraction during dissection, peri-fistula traction stitches were applied around the fistula wall. A 49 circular incision was created on the mucosa of the fistula, and a submucosal plane was accessed. Next, 50 dissection was continued upward meticulously dividing the shared rectovaginal wall up to the cervix 51 anteriorly, and posteriorly up to the sacral promontory. There was no incision made across the 52 perineum, and the perineum was preserved. The complete width of the distal rectum was achieved at 53 this level. Afterwards, an approximately 1 cm U shape incision was made at the site of the external 54 sphincter complex muscle, which had been marked previously with a plain suture. A hole was 55 produced in the external sphincter complex through which the mobilized rectum was dragged. The 56 main fistula site was repaired in layers with 5-0 vicryl interrupted sutures. Anoplasty was performed 57 with 12 sutures and 5-0 vicryl. Foley catheter was kept in situ for 7 days for better perineal care 58 (Figure 2). Oral feeding was started 6–9 h postop and the patient was given fluids and broad-spectrum 59 antibiotics intravenously until the third day post-operation. Afterwards, oral cephalosporin was 60 administered for 7 days. Currently, the patient is passing stools from the normal anal orifice without 61 complication. Informed consent was obtained from the patient's guardians to publish their case details 62 and any accompanying images. 63



Figure 2. show the postoperative neoanus site.

64

38

39 40

41

65

66

### Discussion

Solo anorectal agenesis in a female newborn is a very uncommon disorder, and its connection with 69 fistulous, such as RVF is much more exceptional with a report of less than 1%.(Khan & Chana, 2013) 70 Although the exact cause of atresia ani is unclear, intravascular thrombosis could be suggested as the 71 main cause.(Aslam, et al., 1996) Careful perineal examination, vaginoscopy, and anal sphincter 72 electrical stimulation are essential to make an accurate diagnosis. A small probe should be used gently 73 to locate any fistulous connection.(Upadhyaya, et al., 2008) In our patient, the fistula orifice was 74 located in the vestibular area of the vaginal wall, which is consistent with the diagnosis of RVF. 75

Radiological assessment is significant importance in identifying these abnormalities through various
modalities. A plain X-ray helps reveal the characteristics of bone anomalies. Uranography and MRI
are used to examine the remaining related abnormalities. Conventional investigations with contrast
will precisely show the fistulous information that will determine the management route. (Sharma,
Sharma, Bhardwaj, Dewan, & Aziz, 2017)

The age of patients presenting with anorectal agenesis with RVF ranged from birth to one year, with a mean of three months.(Choudhury, et al., 2017) Our patient was diagnosed 9 months after delivery. 82

The anatomic locations of the fistula might vary in neonate females. Chatterjee et al. classified these cases into two categories with Group 1 including the cases in which the fistula tract arises in the anus just overhead of levator ani and matches the typical kind of RVF. Group 2 entails the patients in whom the tract is positioned under the levator ani known as the "perineal canal".(Chatterjee, 1980) 86

Different approaches were authorized for treating this malformation, such as a transanal end-to-end rectorectal anastomosis, transfistula anorectoplasty approach, anterior sagittal anorectoplasty technique, and posterior sagittal technique(Festen, Severijnen, van der Staak, & Rien, 1996). 89

Our patient underwent successful takedown of RVF and pull-through of the rectum with transfistula 90 anorectoplasty approach (TFARP). The advantages of TFARP are an intact perineal skin bridge and 91 reducing the possibility of postoperative complications. Furthermore, the levator muscle is recognized 92 but not split. The TFARP is accepted by many surgeons because of the surgical outcome and good 93 aesthetic appearance as leaves no visible scar with suitable strength of neoanus sphincter and accepted 94 continence. In contrast, in the corrective surgery of RVF, anterior sagittal anorectoplasty is 95 accompanied by substantial postoperative complications and a negative cosmetic effect on the anal 96 region.(Patankar, Vidyadhar, Prabhakaran, Bo, & Lsk Loh, 2004) 97

Many surgeons performed colostomy due to the worry of the healing process and loss of anal 98 continence. However, the accompanying complications of colostomy, such as peristomal scratch, 99 prolapse, hernia in parastomal area, fecal leakage, intra-abdominal adhesions, and obstruction with a 100 range of 28%-74% in previous reports. Recently, colostomy has been only recommended for high-risk 101 patients with other medical or surgical problems or for patients with variable anatomic features who 102 need future surgeries.(Privatini & Roziana, 2020) Khan et al. carried out the posterior sagittal 103 technique without any colostomy diversion in a young female patient due to the normal development 104 of sphincter muscles.(Khan & Chana, 2013) Privatini et al. reported atresia ani with RVF in two young 105 female cases which were repaired by TFARP technique without postoperative complications.(Priyatini 106 & Roziana, 2020) 107

# Conclusions

Rectal atresia with RVF is uncommon and necessitates extensive evaluation to rule out any related abnormalities. Furthermore, transfistula anorectoplasty may be performed efficiently without a need for covering colostomy with a proper esthetic appearance, anal continence, and minimal postoperative complications.

# Acknowledgements: Non.

113

108

109

110

111

112

68

114

### **References:**

erences:		116
1.	Aslam, A., Ashworth, M. T., & Spicer, R. D. (1996). Posterior sagittal rectoplasty for rectal atresia: a definitive approach. <i>Pediatr Surg Int, 11</i> , 408-409.	117 118
2.	Chatterjee, S. K. (1980). Double termination of the alimentary tracta second look. <i>J Pediatr Surg, 15,</i> 623-627.	119 120
3.	Choudhury, S. R., Khan, N. A., Debnath, P. R., Yadav, P. S., Shah, S., & Chadha, R. (2017). Anorectal Agenesis with Rectovaginal Fistula: A Rare/Regional Variant. <i>J Indian Assoc Pediatr Surg, 22</i> , 79-82.	121 122
4.	Festen, C., Severijnen, R. S., van der Staak, F. H., & Rien, P. N. (1996). Rectal atresia: pathogenesis and operative treatment. <i>Pediatr Surg Int, 11</i> , 559-561.	123 124
5.	Khan, R. A., & Chana, R. S. (2013). Congenital rectovestibular fistula associated with rectal atresia: A rare occurrence. <i>J Indian Assoc Pediatr Surg</i> , <i>18</i> , 31-32.	125 126
6.	Kisra, M., Alkadi, H., Zerhoni, H., Ettayebi, F., & Benhammou, M. (2005). Rectal atresia. <i>J Paediatr Child Health, 41</i> , 691-693.	127 128
7.	Pandey, A., Gupta, V., Singh, S. P., & Verma, R. (2015). Female anorectal malformation in a woman. BMJ Case Rep, 2015.	129 130
8.	Patankar, J. Z., Vidyadhar, M., Prabhakaran, K., Bo, L., & Lsk Loh, D. (2004). Urogenital sinus, rectovaginal fistula, and an anterior stenosed anusanother cloacal variant. <i>Pediatr Surg Int, 20</i> , 556-558.	131 132 133
9.	Priyatini, T., & Roziana. (2020). Transfistula anorectoplasty on adult female anorectal malformation: A rare case report. <i>Int J Surg Case Rep, 74</i> , 182-185.	134 135
10.	Sharma, B., Sharma, S., Bhardwaj, N., Dewan, S., & Aziz, M. (2017). Rectovestibular fistula – diagnosis by radiological evaluation: a case report. <i>Eur J Med Case Rep</i> , 65-68.	136 137
11.	Upadhyaya, V. D., Gangopadhyay, A. N., Pandey, A., Kumar, V., Sharma, S. P., Gopal, S. C., Gupta, D. K., & Upadhyaya, A. (2008). Single-stage repair for rectovestibular fistula without opening the fourchette. <i>J Pediatr Surg, 43</i> , 775-779.	138 139 140