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#### Abstract

The trend of having a pets, especially cats is happening all over the world, and Indonesia is no exception. In matters of animal care, owners are required to ensure the welfare of their cats. To be able to guarantee the welfare there are costs that must be incurred by the cats owner. This study aims to calculate and analyze the cost margin of cat maintenance care and its correlations with age, income, expenditure and knowledge of animal welfare of cat owners in Indonesia, especially in the Bantul area, Yogyakarta. The study was conducted using interviews via google form to 38 respondents who have cats as pets and then compared with data obtained from the field. The findings indicate that the factors of age, income and knowledge of animal welfare have a positive effect on the amount of expenditure used to finance the welfare of their cats. The outcome of this study can help owners and prospective cat owners to plan the costs needed to finance the welfare of cats according to their economic conditions. This study also suggest that cat owners should be more able to manage the expenditures according to their income while ensuring the welfare of their cats.
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## Introduction

The Indonesian people tolerate the maintenance and cultivation of individual animals. In maintenance and cultivation, animal owners must be able to ensure the welfare of the animal's life. This is in accordance with the mandate of the Law of the Republic of Indonesia number 18 of 2009 Article 2 paragraph 2 concerning husbandry and animal health which states that the implementation of husbandry and animal health is based on benefits and sustainability, security and health, democracy and justice, openness and integration, independence, partnership, and professionalism.
Animals are basically the same as living things like humans. The basic necessities of life are the same as humans. Massimo Renzo (2015 in theory of needs as justification of human rights: current approaches and problems of uncertainty and normativeness, 2018), claims that all people need food, water, water, shelter, minimal health, and a minimum level of social interaction. This is the same as animals that also need food, water, water, shelter, minimum health, and a minimum level of social interaction as their basic needs. The difference is that in pets, all of these needs depend on the humans who maintain them. The keeper must take full responsibility for the welfare of their pets.
Broom DM and Johnson KG. (1993 in the research of Susanto et al, 2015) stated that the target of animal welfare is all animals that interact with humans where human intervention greatly affects the survival of animals, not those that live in nature. Animal Welfare has 3 important aspects, namely: Welfare science measures the effects on animals in different situations and environments, from the animal's point of view. Welfare ethics about how humans should treat animals. Welfare law on how humans should treat animals. One of the concepts of animal welfare that is widely used by animal lovers is the concept of the World Society for Protection of Animals or WSPA called The Five Freedom (Broom DM, 2002). According to Webster (1994 in the research by Lerner 2008) the Five Freedoms state that animals should be ensured:

1. Freedom from hunger and thirst - by ready access to fresh water and a diet to maintain full health and vigour.
2. Freedom from discomfort - by providing to suitable environment including shelter and a comfortable resting area.
3. Freedom from pain, injury and disease - by prevention or rapid diagnosis and treatment.
4. Freedom from fear and distress - by ensuring condition which avoid mental suffering.
5. Freedom to express normal behavior - by providing sufficient space, proper facility and company of the animal's own kind.
To ensure the implementation of the five freedoms, pet owners often treat their pets in a special way, from feeding them, bathing them with special shampoos to taking their pets regularly to the vet for checkups (Quin 2005). The results of a survey by the American Animal Hospital Association (AAHA) stated that more than $70 \%$ of pet owners consider their pets as children, $48 \%$ are emotionally dependent on their pets and $83 \%$ will take risks in their lives for their pets. Of course it costs money to do it all. In financing for pets, the owner must adjust his financial strength in terms of income compared to expenses for pets.
According to Mangkoesubroto (2008 in Iskandar's research, 2017) states that the pattern of public consumption expenditure is based on the fact that the pattern of income receipts and consumption expenditure patterns of a person are generally influenced by the period in their life cycle. Kusuma (2008 in Iskandar's research, 2017) states the same thing where consumption expenditure is irreversible, meaning that a person's spending pattern when income increases is different from the pattern of expenditure when income decreases. Different things were expressed by several experts as stated in Iskandar's research (2017) that the average consumption tendency (APC) will be smaller if the income level increases.
During this COVID-19 pandemic, the most popular pet animal is the cat. This was revealed by Wulandari (2021) who stated that 1) the cat keeping population increased during the Covid-19 pandemic to $47 \%$ of respondents compared to other animal breeders, 2) pets are a current trend and 3) owning a pet is a the need for companions in dealing with feelings of stress and loneliness during a pandemic that limits daily activities.
Based on the reasons above, this research was conducted with the aims to calculate and analyze the cost margin of cat maintenance and its correlations with age, income, expenditure and knowledge of animal welfare of cat owners.

## Method

This study uses mix methods, a combination of qualitative and quantitative. The qualitative method is based on a case study that uses a direct field survey method and the results are recorded in an excel file. Quantitative method used to get a holistic understanding by comparing the results of data processing generated from qualitative methods.
The qualitative method is carried out based on the characteristics of the respondents in the research of Pranatayasa \& Wenagama (2013) which considers the following criteria:
a. Income
b. Age
c. Expenditure

To support this qualitative method, the researchers conducted an online survey to several cat-loving populations in Bantul Regency. Respondents were taken using a simple random sampling method. Simple random sampling was carried out so that every member of the cat-loving population in Bantul had the same opportunity to be selected as the sample. In this inline survey 38 responses were obtained. These 38 respondents were accepted with the following considerations (1) They really are cat keepers located in Bantul, (2) They were willing to voluntarily participate in this research.
The interview, which was held online using a google form, consisted of 33 types of questions regarding the age, income, expenses and understanding of cat owners regarding the welfare of their pets and how they treat their cats. The results of the interviews were measured with a certain scale of 1 onwards with 1 being the lowest answer scale from the respondent and the higher the scale being the answer with a higher scale. Scale 0 given if respondents refuse to give an answer. For yes or no questions, use a scale of 1 for yes and 0 for no. After that, the correlation between the 2 variables will be calculated using the formula in Microsoft Excel.
According to Nuryadi et al (2017) to determine the evenness of the relationship between two variables, the correlation coefficient ( r ) is used. The magnitude of the correlation coefficient (r) between two variables is zero to $\pm 1$. If two variables have a value of $r=0$, it means that there is no relationship between these variables. Meanwhile, if two variables have a value of $r= \pm 1$, then the two variables have a perfect relationship. The minus sign (-) on the value of $r$ indicates the opposite relationship (if the value of one
variable increases, the value of the other variable decreases), and conversely the plus sign ( + ) on the value of $r$ indicates a unidirectional relationship (if the value of one variable increases), then the value of the other variables also increases). It can be concluded that the higher the value of the correlation coefficient between the two variables (the closer to 1), the higher the level of closeness of the relationship between the two variables. And conversely, the lower the correlation coefficient between two kinds of variables (the closer to 0 ), then the level of closeness of the relationship between the two variables is getting weaker.

The interpretation of the strength of the correlation between the two variables is done by looking at the number of correlation coefficients calculated using the following criteria (Asis, 2009)
a.If the correlation coefficient shows 0 , then the two variables have no relationship
b. If the correlation coefficient is close to 1 , then the two variables have a stronger relationship c.If the correlation coefficient is close to 0 , then the two variables have a weaker relationship
d. If the correlation coefficient is 1 , then the two variables have a positive perfect linear relationship e.If the correlation coefficient is -1 , then the two variables have a negative perfect linear relationship Or according to Suwarno (2006) which states that the strength of the correlation uses the relationship between two variables where the relationship has the following interpretation criteria.
a. 0
: there is no correlation between the two variables
b. $0-0.25$
: very weak correlation
c. $0.25-0.5$
: enough correlation
d. $0.5-0.75$
: strong correlation
e. $0.75-0.99$
: very strong correlation
f. 1
: perfect correlation

## Result and discussion

Based on the results of interviews which can be seen in table 1, it was found that the majority of respondents aged between 21-30 years amounted to $53 \%$ and followed by respondents aged under 20 years amounted to $31.6 \%$. The majority of respondents' income was between $1,000,000-2,499,000$ IDR with a total respondents reached $65.8 \%$. Of the total respondents, almost all of them only keep cats, which is $97.4 \%$. The remaining one person keeps other animals besides cats. The number of cats owned by each respondent also varies with the majority having more than 1 cat as much as $62.2 \%$ and only $36.8 \%$ having only one cat.
All respondents claimed that they had treated their cat according to how the cat wanted to be treated, although judging from the understanding of the five freedoms, there were still many who did not understand, namely $60.5 \%$. The rest who have understood only amounted to $39.5 \%$. Of all these, the majority of respondents said they can ensure that their cat was free from hunger and thrist, which was $92.1 \%, 86.8 \%$ respondents said that they can ensure their cats are free from heat and discomfort, $89.5 \%$ respondents said that they can ensure their cats are free from wounds, disease and illness, $86.8 \%$ respondents said that they can ensure their cats are free from fear and suffering, and The number of respondents who said that they can ensure their cats are free to express their normal and natural behavior is $92.1 \%$.
The majority of respondents, $92.1 \%$, claimed that they understood the basic needs of cats. Of all the respondents who claim to have understood this, it turns out that they have different understandings about the needs of cats. Only $55.3 \%$ of respondents agree that the cage is a basic need for a pet cat, the remaining $44.7 \%$ think it is not. Of all respondents $71.1 \%$ agree that litter to pee is a basic need for pet cats, the remaining $28.9 \%$ think it is not. $50 \%$ of respondents agree that sterile for cats is a basic need for pet cats, balanced with the remaining $50 \%$ consider sterile for cats not a basic need. Of all respondents $86.9 \%$ agree that health checks are a basic need for pet cats, the remaining $13.1 \%$ consider it not a basic need. $52.6 \%$ of respondents agree that dental care is a basic need for pet cats, the rest $47.4 \%$ think dental care is not. Of all respondents $92.1 \%$ agree that fur care is a basic need for pet cats, the remaining $7.9 \%$ do not think the same. As many as $71.1 \%$ of respondents agree that grooming is a basic need for pet cats. The remaining $28.9 \%$ thought grooming was not a basic need. As many as $84.2 \%$ of respondents agree that giving vitamins is a basic need for pet cats, the remaining $15.8 \%$ disagree. As many as $71.1 \%$ of respondents agree that giving vaccines is a basic need for pet cats. The remaining $28.9 \%$ thought that giving vaccines was not a basic need.

Of all respondents' answers on monthly expenses to meet the five freedom needs for cats, $28.9 \%$ said their expenses are less than 100,000 IDR per month, $23.7 \%$ said their expenses are between 100,000-299,999 IRD per month, $31.6 \%$ said their expenses are between $300,000-500,000$ per month, $13.2 \%$ said that their expenses are more than 500,000 per month and the remaining $2.6 \%$ chose not to answer. Of the expenditures they made, $89.5 \%$ of respondents claimed that they had implemented a consumption expenditure pattern for cats that fits their financial capacity. A total of $78.9 \%$ of respondents agree that they will increase the budget for cat's consumption if their income is increased. A total of $52.6 \%$ of respondents will reduce the budget for their cat's consumption if their income decreases, while $47.4 \%$ will not reduce their budget. Of the total respondents, $34.2 \%$ felt burdened if the expenditure for cat needs increased, while $65.8 \%$ felt it was okay if the expenditure for cats increased.
Of all respondents when asked about the percentage of expenses for cats compared to their own monthly expenses answered that $15.8 \%$ of respondents answered that expenses for cats were less than $10 \%$ of their total expenses, $34.2 \%$ of respondents answered that expenses for cats were $10 \%$ of their total expenses, $26.4 \%$ of respondents answered that expenses for cats were $20 \%$ of their total expenses, $15.8 \%$ of respondents answered that their expenses for cats was more than $20 \%$ of their total expenses, and the rest chose not to answer. When asked about the percentage of their expenditure on cat needs compared to their monthly income, $26.4 \%$ of respondents answered less than $10 \%$ of total income, $26.4 \%$ of respondents also answered that the percentage of expenditure was $10 \%$ of total income, $31.6 \%$ of respondents answered $20 \%$ of total income, $7.9 \%$ answered more than $20 \%$ of total income, and the rest chose not to answer. Of the total expenditure they spend on cats, $78.9 \%$ of respondents believe that they have met the needs of their cats and $84.2 \%$ of respondents believe that they have been able to meet the nutritional needs of their pet cats.
For their daily treatment of their cats, only $76.3 \%$ felt that they had time to bathe their own cats. As many as $86.9 \%$ of respondents feel that they can always take their time to play or walk with their cat. As many as $68.4 \%$ of respondents feel that they have enough time to do a health check for their cat and the remaining $31.6 \%$ feel that they do not have time for it.

Table 1. Respondent's Answer

| Vari <br> able | Question |  | Answer | Answer <br> Scale | Freq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X1 |  |  |  |  |  | (\%) | Age |
| :---: |


| Z2 | Can you ensure that your cat is free from heat and discomfort | Yes | 1 | 33 | 86.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No | 0 | 4 | 13.2 |
| Z3 | Can you ensure that your cat is free from wounds, disease and illness? | Yes | 1 | 34 | 89.5 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 4 | 10.5 |
| Z4 | Can you ensure that your cat is free from fear and suffering? | Yes | 1 | 33 | 86.8 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 5 | 13.2 |
| Z5 | Can you ensure that your cat is free to express their normal and natural behavior? | Yes | 1 | 35 | 92.1 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 3 | 7.9 |
| Y3 | Do you understand the basic needs of a cat as pets in his life? | Yes | 1 | 35 | 92.1 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 3 | 7.9 |
| Z6 | Is giving a cage a basic need for a cat as pets? | Yes | 1 | 21 | 55.3 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 17 | 44.7 |
| Z7 | Is giving litter to pee a basic need for a cat as pets? | Yes | 1 | 27 | 71.1 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 11 | 28.9 |
| Z8 | Is sterillisation a basic need for cats as pets? | Yes | 1 | 19 | 50 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 19 | 50 |
| Z9 | Is a health check a basic need for a cat as pets? | Yes | 1 | 33 | 86.9 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 5 | 13.1 |
| Z10 | Is dental caring a basic need for cats as pets? | Yes | 1 | 20 | 52.6 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 18 | 47.4 |
| Z11 | Is fur caring a basic need for cats as pets? | Yes | 1 | 35 | 92.1 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 3 | 7.9 |
| Z12 | Is grooming a basic need for cats as pets? | Yes | 1 | 27 | 71.1 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 11 | 28.9 |
| Z13 | Is giving vitamins a basic need for cat as pets? | Yes | 1 | 32 | 84.2 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 6 | 15.8 |
| Z14 | Is a vaccine a basic need for cat as pets? | Yes | 1 | 27 | 71.1 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 11 | 28.9 |
| X5 | How much is your total monthly expenditure to fulfill the five freedoms (welfare) for your cat? | < 100.000 IDR | 1 | 11 | 28.9 |
|  |  | 100.000-299.999 IDR | 2 | 9 | 23.7 |
|  |  | $300.000-500.000$ IDR | 3 | 12 | 31.6 |
|  |  | > 500.000 IDR | 4 | 5 | 13.2 |
| Y4 | In your opinion, have you implemented a consumption expenditure pattern for your cat that fits your financial capacity? | Yes | 1 | 34 | 89.5 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 4 | 10.5 |
| Y5 | Would you increase the budget for your cat's consumption if your income increased? | Yes | 1 | 30 | 78.9 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 8 | 21.1 |
| Y6 | Will you reduce the budget for your cat's consumption if your income decreases? | Yes | 1 | 18 | 47.4 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 20 | 52.6 |
| Y7 | Do you feel burdened if your cat's consumption increases from before? | Yes | 1 | 13 | 34.2 |
|  |  | No | 0 | 25 | 65.8 |
| X6 | What is the percentage of your spending budget to provide a standard of comfort for your cat in | Less than $10 \%$ of total expenses | 1 | 6 | 15.8 |
|  |  | 10\% of of total expenses | 2 | 13 | 34.2 |


|  | carrying out maintenance <br> compared to monthly expenses? | $20 \%$ of total expenses | More than 20\% of total <br> expenses | 3 | 10 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

This section discusses the relationship between the various variables asked in the interview. Correlation relationships are classified into several factors and the correlation is calculated, namely:
a. Correlation between respondents answer who have treated cats according to the way cat's want to be treated and the owner's willingness to spend time with their cats (Y1 agains Z15, Z16, and Z17)
Table 2. Correlation between respondents answer who have treated cats according to the way cat's want to be treated and the owner's willingness to spend time with their cats

| Variable | Y1 | Correlation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z 15 | 0 | No Correlation |
| Z 16 | 0 | No Correlation |
| Z 17 | 0 | No Correlation |

Table 2 shows that among respondents who stated that they had treated their cat the way cats wanted to be treated, there was no correlation with the respondent's availability of time to bathe, play, walk or check health with their cat.
b. The correlation between the understanding of the five freedoms and the treatment of the five freedoms by the owner (Y2 agains $\mathrm{Z} 1, \mathrm{Z} 2, \mathrm{Z} 3, \mathrm{Z} 4$, and Z 5 )
Table 3. The correlation between the understanding of the five freedoms and the treatment of the five freedoms by the owner

| Variable | Y2 | Correlation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z1 | -0.162876151 | Very Weak Negatif |
| Z2 | 0.054396114 | Very Weak Positif |
| Z3 | 0.314347307 | Weak Positif |
| Z4 | 0.054396114 | Very Weak Positif |
| Z5 | 0.101564908 | Very Weak Positif |

In table 3, it can be seen that the correlation between the understanding of the five freedoms and the respondent's belief that they have implemented the five freedoms described by WSPA almost all have a
positive correlation although it is still very weak. An anomaly occurs in the correlation of understanding the five freedons to the treatment of respondents who feel that they have ensured their cat is free from hunger and thirst which has a very weak negative correlation or an inverse correlation.
c. Correlation between understanding of basic need for pet cats and various cat needs (Y3 against Z6, Z7, Z8, Z9, Z10, Z11, Z12, Z13, and Z14)

Table 4. Correlation between understanding of basic need for pet cats and various cat needs

| Variable | Y3 | Correlation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z6 | 0.129125273 | Very Weak Positif |
| Z7 | 0.028313733 | Very Weak Positif |
| Z8 | -0.097590007 | Very Weak Negatif |
| Z9 | 0.174739551 | Very Weak Positif |
| Z10 | -0.08229512 | Very Weak Negatif |
| Z11 | -0.085714286 | Very Weak Negatif |
| Z12 | -0.186870637 | Very Weak Negatif |
| Z13 | 0.140859042 | Very Weak Positif |
| Z14 | 0.028313733 | Very Weak Positif |

Table 4 shows that respondents' understanding of the cat's basic need has a very weak positive correlation for the variables of cage provision, litter sand, health checks, vitamins and vaccines. However, it has a weak negative correlation with the provision of sterile for cat, dental care, fur care and grooming.
d. Correlation between owner's age and income with expenses made for cat needs (X1 and X2 against X5, X6, and X7)

Table 5. Correlation between owner's age and income with expenses made for cat needs

| Variable | X1 | Correlation | X2 | Correlation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X5 | 0.40948645 | Weak Positif | 0.298623624 | Weak Positif |
| X6 | -0.164810738 | Very Weak Negatif | -0.168113473 | Very Weak Negatif |
| X7 | -0.243363788 | Very Weak Negatif | -0.237994398 | Very Weak Negatif |

It can be seen in table 5 that the respondent's age and monthly income are positively correlated with the total expenditure used to fulfill the five freedoms in cats although it is still very weak. Meanwhile, age and income have a very weak negative correlation with the percentage of expenses for cats compared to the respondents' monthly income and expenses.
e. Correlation between understanding of the five freedoms, basic need of cats and treatment of cats with expenditures made for cat needs ( $\mathrm{Y} 1, \mathrm{Y} 2$, and Y 3 against $\mathrm{X} 5, \mathrm{X} 6$, and X 7 )
Table 6. Correlation between understanding of the five freedoms, basic need of cats and treatment of cats with expenditures made for cat needs

| Variable | Y1 | Correlation | Y2 | Correlation | Y3 | Correlation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X5 | 0 | No correlation | 0.319443713 | Weak Positif | 0.333243948 | Weak Positif |
| X6 | 0 | No correlation | 0.191423281 | Very Weak Positif | 0.067594318 | Very Weak <br> Positif |
| X7 | 0 | No correlation | 0.353238291 | Very Weak Positif | 0.014021141 | Very Weak <br> Positif |

From table 6 it can be seen that the respondent's treatment according to what the cat wants is not correlated with the expenditure made for the cat's needs. Meanwhile, the understanding of the five freedoms and the respondent's understanding of the cat's basic need have a weak positive effect on the expenditure they provide for the welfare of their cat.
f. Correlation between spending budget and whether the respondent feels that the expenditure for his cat is in accordance with his financial capacity (X5, X6, and X7 against Y4, Y5, Y6, Y7, Y8 and Y9)

Table 7. Correlation between spending budget and whether the respondent feels that the expenditure for his cat is in accordance with his financial capacity

| Variable | X5 | Correlation | X6 | Correlation | X7 | Correlation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y4 | 0.390414738 | Weak Positif | 0.154421843 | Very Weak Positif | 0.094452865 | Very Weak Positif |
| Y5 | 0.07525964 | Very Weak <br> Positif | 0.242838329 | Very Weak Positif | 0.246136596 | Very Weak Positif |
| Y6 | - <br> 0.169525818 | Very Weak <br> Negatif | -0.415581387 | Weak Negatif | -0.431021828 | Weak Negatif |
| Y7 | - <br> 0.216676603 | Very Weak <br> Negatif | -0.128473666 | Very Weak <br> Negatif | -0.235141685 | Very Weak Negatif |
| Y8 | 0.409575037 | Weak Positif | 0.175857125 | Very Weak <br> Negatif | 0.083467018 | Very Weak Positif |
| Y9 | 0.559290152 | Strong Positif | 0.353239149 | Weak Negatif | 0.217744248 | Very Weak Positif |

Table 7 shows that the total monthly expenditure for cat welfare, the percentage of expenditure on cats compared to the respondent's monthly income and expenditure has a very weak positive correlation with the respondent's opinion that they have carried out an expenditure pattern that is in accordance with their financial capacity. It is also positively correlated with respondents' willingness to increase their spending budget for cats if their monthly income increases although it is still very weak. In addition, it is also positively correlated with respondents' beliefs that they have been able to meet the needs and nutrition for their cats, also very weak positive correlations. In fact, a negative correlation is shown between the total monthly expenditure for cat welfare, the percentage of expenditure on cats compared to the respondent's monthly income and expenditure with the respondent's willingness to reduce expenditure for his cat if income decreases and whether the respondent feels burdened if the expenditure for his cat increases.

## Conclusion

The conclusion is obtained after knowing the correlation between several factors in the list of interviews with respondents. The main factors such as age, monthly income and monthly expenses of respondents have a positive correlation although only have weak and very weak correlations with the total expenditure made for cat welfare maintenance. While the factors of age and monthly income has no effect on the percentage of the budget issued by respondents to finance their cat. The percentage of the budget issued may be the same but the amount spent will be different when viewed from the age factor and monthly income of the respondent.
This is in accordance with the consumption theory expressed by Mangkubroto (2008 in Iskandar's research, 2017) which has been explained before. The pattern of consumption expenditure or total expenditure will change in number influenced by its life cycle, in this case the age factor and changes in monthly income. Unlike other theories, the statement by Kusuma (2008 in Iskandar's research, 2017) states that the average tendency to consume will be smaller if the income level increases. The results showed that there was a mismatch when viewed from the percentage of respondents' willingness to increase spending if their income increased which reached $78.9 \%$. This means that consumption expenditure will increase if the amount of income increases.
In the study, there is also an estimate of the amount of monthly expenditure spent on raising a cat. The majority of respondents' costs are around $100,000-500,000$ IDR. This can be a consideration for both cat keeper and prospective cat keeper about the budget that must be prepared for cat care.
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